Interview Summary	10/712,007	SHARKEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Peffley	3739
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Michael Peffley.	(3) <i>Phyllis Kristal</i> .	
(2) <u>Tim Riffe</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 July 2007.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)  applicant's representative	<u>:</u> ]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	<b>I/A.</b>
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	<del>.</del>	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested information on how to have certain IDS citations, previously not considered for failure to provide a date, considered and printed on the patent. The examiner agreed to consider the references and provide initialed copies of those pages of the 1449's that contained the cites previously not considered if a list of dates for those citations were provided. Attached to the Supplemental Notice of Allowability are the pages of the 1449's that have a year written in for those references previously not considered, and also indicating the references have now been considered. The claims of record are deemed to distinguish over these prior art references.